

Date: Thu, 2 Jun 94 19:00:14 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #613
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Thu, 2 Jun 94 Volume 94 : Issue 613

Today's Topics:

** New England Ham - Electronic Fleamarket Dates ** June Update
440 in So. Cal.
Evergreen Intertie
Ham license plates in WA
IDing
LOOKING FOR CLUB STATIONS
Midland 13-513 schematics?
MSF receiver
Reality check (was Re: Ham Radio few problem)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 2 Jun 1994 23:55:25 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!howland.reston.ans.net!spool.mu.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!senator-bedfellow.mit.edu!w1gsl@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ** New England Ham - Electronic Fleamarket Dates ** June Update
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

New England Area Ham - Electronic Flea Market *** DATES *** 1994 P 1 of 2
All events are Ham Radio/ Electronic related except ~-----~

1994 Contact Source

5 June Newington CT @HS Flea + ARRL HQ OH \$20@8 \$4@9A A1 N1JWF 203 747 1925 F+

5 June Contoocook NH CVRC 8A \$1buy \$6sell I-89ex7 John N1FOJ 603 746 4817 F

11 June Hermon ME PineStARC BangorHmfest @ES \$3@8A Roger KA1TKS 207 848 3846 F+

11 June Goshen CT SoBARC @FG 8A \$10/T \$4buy rt63nr4 Sid K1SS 203 364 0480 F

11 June Norwich CT RASoN Auction @10A @Moose x23rt2 Dave KA1LK 203 889 5025 F+

11 June Brewster NY Pearlfest @JFKeS 8A buy\$5 s\$12 Shirly N2SKP 914 736 3010 F+

12 June Old Westbury NY LIMARC @NY Inst of T b\$6@9 Neal WE2V 516 462 5549

19 June Cambridge MA FLEA at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F
buy \$2@9A sellers \$10/sp@7A \$8in adv
3rd Sunday Each Month April thru October

16 July Union ME @ Fairground Skeet KA1LPW 207 622 2915 F

17 July Cambridge MA FLEA at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

23 July Nashua NH NE Antique RC \$5@9 \$1@10 @ Res Ctr Church Ray 508 865 1290

24 July Queens NY @Hall of Science 47-01 111st Arnie WB2YXB 718 343 0172

7 Aug Wellesley MA WARS @Babson Trim Hall \$2@9 Barbara N1ICQ 617 329 2628 F

13 Aug Charlotte VT @Old Lantern CG 8A- \$5 su 3P Fri Dave N1ERD 802 893 7660 F+

13 Aug St Albans ME @ Snow Mobile Club Rod KA1RFD 207 582 6125

21 Aug Cambridge MA FLEA at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

27 Aug Gardner MA MARC @Drive-in \$5@6 \$2@8 Bill WJ1Y 508 939 2643 F+

28 Aug Fall River MA BCRA @Bank St Ar \$2@9 \$5/15@8 Tom WA1LBK 508 674 4163 T

28 Aug Yonkers NY YARC John 914 969 6548 A

28 Aug Vernon CT East VHF-UHF Conf FLEA \$5@8 @Qual Inn Ron WZ1V 203-589-0528 +

10 Sept Windsor ME AARA @Fairground Rod KA1RFD 207 582 6125

10 Sept Ballston Spa NY SCRACES 7A \$4-5 Ti147.84/.24 Dave N2FEP 518 882 6196 F+

17 Sept Forestdale RI RIFMRS @VFW rt146 8A flea+auct Rick K1KYI 401 725 7507

17 Sept Berlin VT CVTARC ti 146.625- Steve N1NPI 802 433 6172 F+

18 Sept Cambridge MA FLEA at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

~~~~~  
LAST UPDATE 6-1-94 de W1GSL

P 1 of 2

\*\*\*\*\*  
Additions/ Corrections via Internet w1gsl@mit.edu +

SASE for updated copy. TCPIP w1gsl@gw.w1mx.ampr.org

AX.25 w1gsl@wa1phy.#ema.ma.usa

(c)1994 W1GSL US Mail W1GSL POB 82 MIT Br Cambridge MA 02139

P 2 of 2

1994 Contact Source

~~~~~

18 Sept Sandy Hook CT Candlewood ARA @Town bldg 8A Ken KD1DD 203 743 9181 D

21-24 Sept Rochester NY Antique WA Conf Joyce 607 739 5443

24 Sept Lincoln ME Bagley ARC @Burr Sc 2miN on Rt2 Ed WA1JGO 207 732 5185

25 Sept Framingham MA @ HS \$14@8 \$10tg \$5@9 \$2@10 Barry WN1N 508 877 4947 F

25 Sept Yonkers NY @Lincoln HS \$5@9 \$18/T@7 Otto WB2SLQ 914 969 1053

1,2 Oct Boxboro MA NE Div Conv. Gene W1VRK 617 631 7388 A

2 Oct Queens NY @Hall of Science 47-01 111st Arnie WB2YXB 718 343 0172

7,8 Oct Rochester NH Hoss Traders @FG x13 rt16 \$20@9A \$5@3PM fri Joe K1RQG

8,9 Oct Durham CT Nutmeg @FG Opens 4PM sat \$5-25 Jim N1IZF 203 349 3353 F

16 Oct Cambridge MA FLEA at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F
buy \$2@9A sellers \$10/sp@7A \$8in adv \$35/sp "Season Pass"
3rd Sunday Each Month April thru October

22,23 Oct Waltham MA Photographica 10-5 \$5 ~photo~ (bef 9PM) 617 965 0807 T

29 Oct Nashua NH NE Antique RC \$5@9 \$1@10 @ Res Ctr Church Ray 508 865 1290

12 Nov Plymouth MA Mayflower RC @Mem Hall 9-3 sell@8 Jon WS1K 508 746-0162

13 Nov Branford CT SCARA @intrm sch Brad WA1TAS 203 265 9983 T

~~~~~

1995 Contact Source

~~~~~

15 Jan Yonkers NY @Lincoln HS \$5@9 \$18/T@7 Otto WB2SLQ 914 969 1053

2 April Trenton NJ DVRA @Tren ST Col. W2ZQ 609 882 2240 D+

28-30 April Dayton OH F+

12.13 May Rochester NH Hoss Traders @FG x13 rt16 \$20@9A \$5@3PM fri Joe K1RQG +

31 May-2 June Boston MA ELECTRO @ Hynes "Electronics trade show" 800 223 7126 F+

LAST UPDATE 6-1-94 de W1GSL P 2 of 2

Source F= Flyer T= tentative early info + = new info this month

A= ARRL J= John Roberts D= W1DL WR NV 73 CQ QST = Mags

This list has been compiled from many sources. While we believe the info to be accurate the author can not be responsible for changes or errors.

Check with the sponsoring organizations for more details. This list will be posted monthly to USENET. Mailed copies are sent when additions are made.

Additions/ Corrections via Internet w1gsl@mit.edu

SASE for updated copy. TCPIP w1gs1@gw.w1mx.apri.org

AX.25 w1gs1@wa1phv. #ema.ma.us

(c)1994 W1GSL US Mail W1GSL POB 82 MIT Br Camb

(C) 1994 WIGSE 03 Hall WIGSE 103 02 HIT 01 Cambridge MA 02139

New England Area Ham - Electronic Flea Market *** DATES *** 1995 ***

Page 3 Electronic distribution only. This page has the overflow if any from the paper version. P3

1995 Contact Source

20 August Cambridge MA Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

6,7 Oct Rochester NH Hoss Traders @FG x13 rt16 \$20@9A \$5@3PM fri Joe K1R0G +

15 October Cambridge MA Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

LAST UPDATE 6-1-94 de W1GSL P 3

Additions/ Corrections via Internet w1gsl@mit.edu +
(c)1994 W1GSL TCPIP w1gsl@gw.w1mx.ampr.org
unlimited reproduction AX.25 w1gsl@wa1phy.#ema.ma.usa
permitted in entirity US Mail W1GSL POB 82 MIT Br Cambridge MA 02139
SASE for updated copy as issued.

Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
Subject: New
Summary:
Expires:
Sender:
Followup-To:
Distribution: na
Organization: Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Keywords:

Date: 2 Jun 1994 22:44:32 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!netline-fddi.jpl.nasa.gov!sec396-news.jpl.nasa.gov!news@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: 440 in So. Cal.
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2slc6j\$kkn@sugar.NeoSoft.COM> jreese@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Jim Reese) writes:

>>True, but the ones in Southern Cal are happy with the paper radios. If
>>not, then why are they coordinated?
>
>If this is truly the case, things should change. I suspect the problem is
>no worst in California than in other densely populated areas of the country.
>
>It took the Texas VHF-FM Society some time to purge the majority of the "paper
>repeaters" from the database. It doesn't happen overnight.

With the loss of the bottom 2.150mhz of the 220 band (remember the 150khz was given over to weak signal thus costing 6 more repeater channels to be lost), the 220SMA has instituted the policy of requiring all repeater owners to re-register their systems every three years. Systems that do not exist or do not submit the required paperwork will be decoordinate and purged from the files. Should a frequency appear unused, they will not recognize any system which is not coordinated and will not deal with the operator of an uncoordinated system that moves onto that frequency pair until they vacate the pair. If you find an abandoned freq, you can submit a request for coordination requesting the pair; however, if there is another group ahead of you in the request line and they are in the same coverage area, then you more than likely will not get the freq.

In other words, don't put up a repeater and then tell them that you have done so. They already have proof that the FCC will side with the coordinating body over a single renegade user. (I can't state exactly what the proof is other than that we were sited examples at the last 220SMA meeting.)

Randy Hammock KC6HUR
hammock@kelvin.jpl.nasa.gov

Date: 2 Jun 1994 15:21:22 -0700
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!udel!news2.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!
news.world.net!news.teleport.com!news.teleport.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Evergreen Intertie
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Robert Smits (emd@ham.island.net) wrote:
: jthart@csupomona.edu (Joseph T. Hart) writes:

: > Please help. I need information about the Evergreen Intertie, a 2m
: >net that covers several Western states (N. Calif., Oregon, Washington,
: >Idaho, Montana), plus British Columbia and Alberta. The only place I've
: >found this repeater network described is in the ARRL publication Your VHF
: >Companion, but no repeater frequencies are listed. The Evergreen Intertie
: >is not listed in the 1993-94 ARRL Repeater Directory, nor in the Western
: >States Repeater Directory.
: > Could someone please supply a contact person, address, and
: >telephone number? I will be traveling to the NW next week and moving
: >to the NW in two weeks.
: > Thanks for helping, Joe (KE6GRS)
: >
: Hi, Joe. I was just reading the news - and listening to a net on the
: intertie when I came across your posting. For more info on the Evergreen
: Intertie, send a Self Addresses Stamped Envelope - #10 business size, to
: Jack Williams, 4303 Maltby Rd, Bothell WA 98012. I have no phone number.

Be aware that the usage of this system very structured and that any variance from the "official" method often results in a lecture from an Annally Retentive Regulation Loving Kilocycle Cop.

IE: 3-10 minute time limit, Use the system to contact already known persons, that is, no turning on the system to make a new friend in a distant town.

Also, most network nodes are kept in the "off" mode. A code control cheat sheet is necessary to enable the network for a QSO, and then disable the network afterward.

It has value, but it could have so much more.

73's
Gene
KB7WIP

Date: Thu, 2 Jun 1994 16:07:58 CDT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!jobone!ukma!news.cuny.edu!ndsuvm1!ud173191@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Ham license plates in WA
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hello all...I'll soon be moving to the Richland, Washington area and I was wondering if somebody could tell me what kind of damage my wallet is going to take if I want my call letters on my license plates. Can somebody give me an idea?

Thanks...
Greg N0ODQ

Date: 2 Jun 1994 23:25:02 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!lll-winken.llnl.gov!koriel!
newsworthy.West.Sun.COM!abyss.West.Sun.COM!spot!myers@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: IDing
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article oqg@bones.et.byu.edu, haymoree@newt.ee.byu.edu (Ed Haymore) writes:
>Sir Hoagy (hoagy@illuminati.io.com) wrote:
>| Don't go "This is AB1CDE monitoring 146.88" You don't *need* to
>| say what frequency you're monitoring. To monitor means to
>| listen for others who wish to talk. You're not doing it for the benefit
>| of the listener on a scanner.
>
>As was mentioned before, some hams scan a number of different
>frequencies -- and the radio may have continued on to the next one
>before the listener has a chance to stop the scan. Announcing the
>frequency allows him to know which memory channel to go back to.

Or, which microphone to pick up. If you a different radios for each band, like 6m, 2m and 70cm, you may need to carefully pick up the correct microphone. One friend of mine takes his radio in his company car, which has a company radio in it, too. Only a few times has he grabbed the wrong microphone, and neither the amateurs or the Highway Patrol dispatchers have complained. :-) He tends to pop out and tease amateurs that brag about their speed, though....

* Dana H. Myers KK6JQ, DoD#: j | Views expressed here are
*
* (310) 348-6043 | mine and do not necessarily *
* Dana.Myers@West.Sun.Com | reflect those of my employer
*
* This Extra supports the abolition of the 13 and 20 WPM tests *

Date: 2 Jun 1994 21:22:54 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!howland.reston.ans.net!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!
news.cs.columbia.edu!news.columbia.edu!tintin.cc.columbia.edu!
fuat@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: LOOKING FOR CLUB STATIONS
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Another data point:

Columbia University Amateur Radio Club (W2AEE).

Email to: cuarc@columbia.edu (or w2aee@columbia.edu)

WWW URL: <http://www.cc.columbia.edu/~fuat/cuarc/>

--Fuat, N2YGN

Columbia University	fuat@columbia.edu
703 Watson Labs	212-854-4804
612 W115th Street	212-662-6442 (Fax)
New York, NY 10025	N2YGN

Date: 02 Jun 1994 21:25:48 GMT
From: network!gobbel@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Midland 13-513 schematics?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

My wife and I have an ancient Midland 13-513 220 MHz radio that we use for a base station. It doesn't have a built-in PL encoder, one of the previous owners stuck on an aftermarket encoder. A couple of weeks ago it started flaking out on us. It will appear to be working--in fact it apparently is still able to receive the radar signals that the Navy so generously sprinkles around San Diego--but does not transmit or receive, at least not in the band it's supposed to be in. The problem has now gone from intermittent to a solid failure. I want to try to fix it, but to do so I need a schematic. Would anyone out there by chance have a schematic for this or a similar radio? Or even, has anyone out there ever *seen* one of these radios--it was given to my wife by a friend, we don't know who the original owner was, but it looks like about mid-70's vintage.

73's,
-Randy, KD6ULI

Date: 3 Jun 1994 00:21:41 +0200
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!EU.net!sun4nl!hacktic!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: MSF receiver
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Dear Netters,

I wonder if anyone knows where I can find an article about building a receiver for the MSF long-wave time-signal radio station, located in Rugby, England.

Yes, I am an experienced builder of electronic circuits, but I don't have the knowledge to think up a good-quality receiver by myself and would thus like to look at some examples.

TIA. Private email OK and preferred.

Kindest regards,

Frank

--

Frank R. Oppedijk, B.Sc. Internet: fro@hacktic.nl	Job: Novell NetWare programmer At: NewComm Technology, Zeewolde, The Netherlands
Ham Radio: PA3FLV Tel: ++31 (0)36 5348427	Tel: ++31 (0)3242 5127 Fax: ++31 (0)3242 5129

Date: Thu, 2 Jun 1994 23:43:07 GMT
From: news.Hawaii.Edu!uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu!jherman@ames.arpa
Subject: Reality check (was Re: Ham Radio few problem)
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2sja4j\$lni@abyss.West.Sun.COM> myers@cypress.West.Sun.COM writes:
>
>It is common human nature for insecure, unhappy people to deride others.
>Too bad some have to cope with their frailties by condemning others, no?

``Physician, Heal Thyself.''

I knew the Defender of Radio Lawbreakers would eventually surface. You came to Bly's defense in December when he bragged about operating without a license, and now in June Bly resurfaces and so do you.

I will continue to publicly condemn those who intentionally break the law; if you choose to hid your head or deride me then you, in effect, are indirectly condoning their behavior. I'm very secure and very happy but I won't let

that stop me from condemning someone who does wrong. I'm sure that you scold your children when they do wrong; does that make you an 'insecure, unhappy' person?

Now, concerning HF CW, to the uninitiated, DX pileups might sound as if everyone is jamming one another but there is a sense of order in all those heterodyne squeals. Music to my ears!

A CW station jamming a SSB net? Is it possible the net started right on top of a CW QSO? You would have had to have been parked on that freq prior to the start of the net to conclusively call it jamming. It's possible that the two CW stations were trying to continue their QSO under the SSB net (maybe it was even a CW net that was there first).

73 Dana and good luck on the 20M rig!

Jeff NH6IL

Date: 3 Jun 94 00:49:31 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!pacbell.com!pb2esac!
jaminge@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <vanwagCqLJB5.Myz@netcom.com>, <1994May30.111450.24376@cs.brown.edu>, <1994Jun2.160404.28172@llyene.jpl.nasa.gov>
Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

In article <1994Jun2.160404.28172@llyene.jpl.nasa.gov>,
laborde@kilroy.jpl.nasa.gov (Greg LaBorde (525)) writes:
> In article <1994Jun1.002342.4409@pb2esac.com>,
> >
> >I can't resist sharing an experience with trying to contact the folks
(snip)

> >We were told in rather curt terms that no-code techs were not welcome
> >there. (Something about not being able to copy the repeater's ID..)
>
(snip)
> That is absurd! One of the control ops for W6UE is a tech, and all licensed
> amateur operators who exhibit good operating practice are welcomed there.
> A quick check of the callserver shows that W6UE is the club call of the
> Caltech Amateur Radio Club. About the only restriction I have ever heard
> is for operators to be considerate of the co-channelled repeater which has

> a significantly overlapping coverage area. You either misunderstood something,
> talked to an impostor, or are making this up. I daresay you will NOT be
> welcomed there after an insulting post like this.

>

> -Greg

> KD6MSM

>

Well Greg, at least It wasn't made up. If it seemed to be insulting,
it was not intended to be.

So far I have received two pieces of email and three postings about it.
The response from the folks affiliated with W6UE has been cordial and
constructive. This was undoubtedly a case of one operator who was tired
and just wanted us to go away at that moment. There are good reasons
why they might want to limit any big influx of people, that were
explained quite convincingly to me in mail from Michael Deignan and
from Douglas Reeves. It's unfortunate that one individual, whoever he
was, made the anti-no-code remarks to us.

To the good folks at CALTECH and JPLARC, I say I'm really sorry that
my comments caused you some public embarrassment. You don't deserve
that at all and I apologize for it.

-John
KE6DTC

--

John Minger KE6DTC
Glendale, CA
<jaminge@PacBell.COM>

"Society is like a stew. If you
don't keep it stirred up, you get a
lot of scum on top." -Edward Abbey

Date: 2 Jun 1994 22:41:10 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!hpscit.sc.hp.com!hpuerci.atl.hp.com!narcesc!
jab@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <2sfdfs\$hg2@btree.brooktree.com>, <2sfrbj\$1r8@misr-fsw.jpl.nasa.gov>,
<2sh4p3\$ms9@btree.brooktree.com>
Subject : Re: Ham Radio few problem

In <2sh4p3\$ms9@btree.brooktree.com> roger@btree.brooktree.com (Roger Bly) writes:

>law trespass). It is probably a violation of "right to possession" codes.
>The owners of a closed repeater are damaged if I use that repeater without
>permission. Damages would probably be club dues used for operating expenses.

Hmm, I think it would be more of "theft of services", just like the

satellite operators would like to enforce.

Only there is a problem. How can you have theft of services for a defined non commercial repeater??

We have had this debate a hundred times in this group.

I will refrain from the detailed analogies that don't change anyone's mind anyway.

It will be interesting to see if you can get a court to enforce anything outside of FCC regulations.

Sounds like a waste to \$\$, and a black eye to ham radio to me.

Alan Barrow km4ba | If a little knowledge.....
Work: jab@atl.hp.com | is a dangerous thing.....
Home: alan@km4ba.apmr.org | then what is the Anti-Dote???

Date: 2 Jun 1994 14:33:39 -0500
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!news.uh.edu!uuneo.neosoft.com!sugar.NeoSoft.COM!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <2sh2lq\$b77@kaiwan.kaiwan.com>, <2shtbu\$d8o@sugar.NeoSoft.COM>, <rogjdCqq72H.6u4@netcom.com>,
Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

In article <rogjdCqq72H.6u4@netcom.com>,
Roger Buffington <rogjd@netcom.com> wrote:

>Agreed, the closed repeater owners would have the same rights as the rest >of us. They simply wouldn't have rights over and above the rest of us, >namely, coordination for a repeater pair on a closed or private basis.

That's not what I said. The frequency coordinator must serve both the trustees of open and closed repeaters, as well as balancing this with the needs of those who want to put on new repeaters. If the frequency is already occupied, it makes absolutely no difference whether the system is open or closed. This frequency is occupied. Period. A coordinator can't let himself judge who is "more worthy" of a frequency. An attitude like this is guaranteed to get him sued.

>The current 440 coordination group has abused its authority recklessly.

>This can be seen quite clearly by the disuse into which Southern Cal's
>440 band has degenerated.

I know some of the guys who started SCRRBA. I don't think there's any secret conspiracy here. They did what was needed at the time. Times change and they may have to change, but they can't be expected to throw people off channels they've occupied for 20 years or more. Change must be reasonable.

>True, but the ones in Southern Cal are happy with the paper radios. If
>not, then why are they coordinated?

If this is truly the case, things should change. I suspect the problem is no worst in California than in other densely populated areas of the country.

It took the Texas VHF-FM Society some time to purge the majority of the "paper repeaters" from the database. It doesn't happen overnight.

--

Jim Reese, WD5IYT | "Real Texans don't let the truth get in
jreese@sugar.neosoft.com | the way of a good story."

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #613
